S.B.CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION NO.4954/2006

Date : 21.11.2006

HON'BLE MR. SATYA PRAKASH PATHAK, J.

Mr. B.S. Sankhla for the applicant.
Mr. Vishnu Kachhawaha, Public Prosecutor for State.

I have heard learned counsel for the applicant as well as the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and carefully gone through the impugned order.

The allegation against the accused applicant is that he is involved in liquor business and the learned trial court by impugned order after considering the facts of the case has rejected his bail application.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration the overall facts and circumstances of the present case, I do not feel inclined to extend benefit of anticipatory bail to the applicant for the reason that co-accused was granted bail by the learned trial court. Accordingly, the bail application moved by the applicant under Section 438 Cr.P.C. stands rejected.

vij **(SATYA PRAKASH PATHAK), J.**